

## Scholars' Day Abstract Rubric – Literature Review

	4	3	2	1	Value Selected
<b>Focus and Organization</b>	Organization of abstract is very clear. Purpose and aims are explicitly described—no clarifying questions needed.	Organization of abstract is good, and purpose and aims are stated. Some clarifying questions may be needed to fully understand project.	Organization of abstract could be improved. Purpose and aims can be inferred but are not clearly stated.	Abstract has little to no organization. Purpose and aims of project cannot be determined.	
<b>Description</b>	Abstract includes a research question(s) and describes the process of gathering information from multiple, research-based sources.	Abstract adequately describes the method or process; these means appear appropriate for the project described.	Abstract hints at method and/or process used but fails to document them clearly	Abstract provides virtually no description of method or process used.	
<b>Clarity of Writing</b>	Abstract is written almost perfectly. No errors (or very limited errors) present, writing is exceptionally clear.	Writing is clear and does not interfere with comprehension. Some errors and typos may be present.	Writing needs considerable improvement. Grammatical errors and word choice make comprehension difficult.	Poor writing present throughout. Incomplete sentences, grammar errors and poor word choice make the abstract unreadable.	
<b>Findings</b>	Abstract provides a clear statement of findings gleaned from the review of literature.	Abstract provides a clear statement of findings.	Abstract provides incomplete or unclear statement of findings.	Abstract provides no statement of findings.	
<b>TOTAL</b>					

Submission # \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: